

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_122018
Title of the Manuscript:	School Heads' Experiences on the Conduct of Classroom Observation
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Because it reports the good practices and experiences of the sampled population; the school heads, on Classroom Observation, which once replicated could bring about changes into Education through enhancing the teaching methods</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, it is, despite the minor changes as highlighted in yellow and red. Depending on the Journal standards , abbreviations tend to be avoided in abstracts.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, they are.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>It is scientifically robust and technically sound for being based on suitable scientific methodology for a phenomenological study and the use of appropriate educational terminology.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes they are.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is, despite the minor issue of punctuation; displacement of comma, of connector AND.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>From page 5 to 12 the spacing between lines should follow the same standards as the previous pages.</p> <p>In section 4 the author should decide on which WORD to use</p> <p>Every figure or table should include the source at the bottom as it include the title on top.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geraldo Joel Cuane
Department, University & Country	Mozambique