

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_121844
Title of the Manuscript:	MODULATORY EFFECT OF AQUEOUS GINGER (ZINGIBER OFFICINALE) EXTRACT ON GENTAMICIN SULFATE INDUCED OXIDATIVE STRESS AND NEPHROTOXICITY IN FEMALE ALBINO RATS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I find the author's stance on ginger in this study to be unclear. It is ambiguous whether the author is promoting ginger as beneficial or detrimental. Furthermore, I question why only aqueous solutions were considered. Personally, I strongly disagree with the conclusions drawn in this study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Ok	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The introduction contains excessive unnecessary details, which detract from the focus of the study. The information highlighted in red is entirely irrelevant and can be omitted. Meanwhile, the points highlighted in yellow could be presented more effectively to enhance the clarity and coherence of the introduction.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is concerning that the study fails to include any recent publications in its references. The absence of current sources compromises the validity and applicability of the research findings.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The histology images provided are of poor quality and lack proper labeling, making it difficult to accurately assess the damage caused by the products being studied. This omission hinders a thorough discussion of the findings and limits the conclusions that can be drawn from the research. The manuscript appears to contain numerous instances of copied and pasted sentences, which raises concerns about the originality and authenticity of the work. This lack of proper citation undermines the credibility of the research.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. Gomathi Priyadharsini
Department, University & Country	India