

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAAR_121951</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Phytochemical analysis of curry leaf (<i>Murraya koenigii</i>) for Hair Oil</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research is an outbreak of expanding the knowledge base of medicinal plants specifically for product development. This paper supports traditional knowledge with scientific evidence and has potential product dissemination and commercialization. This phytochemical analysis will lead to further explorations of other potential plants for this particular research. As probably a breakthrough research, scientists can unlock the full potential of curry leaf for hair care and contribute significantly to the field of trichology. What I like with this research, is its contribution to the existing knowledge because it is an innovation to the existing technology. This gives information to researchers an idea of looking endemic plants to the community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate, simple and easy to comprehend. The title is short and catchy. It follows the guidelines as prescribed in writing research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is complete. It can independently tell the entirety of the paper. It sound and inconsonance on the standing guidelines for abstract formulation.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The other sections of the paper conforms with the appropriate standards and aligns the criteria for publishable paper.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As a science professor it follows the formal scientific way of writing a science article like scientific name is written correctly. The research contributes to the existing knowledge on the technology development and existing practices on phytochemical research. The author presented the concept orderly and follows the process.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	As I have inspected the references are written in correct format and authentic that supports the existing study. The references are mpre than enough in terms related studies and literatures.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is required for plagiarism and grammar check.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper is authentic and innovative. It is very timely for development of technology. Please work for the patent registration and protocols.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Johnny B. Dolor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Education, Philippines</b>