

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122624
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Economics of integrated and non-integrated farming systems in Northeastern Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Integrated farming system increases economic yield per unit. It reduces production costs through recycling wastes and by-products of one enterprise as inputs to other enterprise. With the growing population and shrinking resources, vertical expansion through integrating appropriate farming components necessitates economic and sustainable crop production, ensuring periodic income flow to farm families.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable but my suggestion to author to consider the following: Comparative economic evaluation of integrated and non-integrated farming systems in Northeastern Karnataka	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive but it should be upgraded for better comprehensive. i. Sampling technique and sampling time should be added.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but some of the issues have to be added for the easiness of the readers. You have to included study area, sample size formula, inclusion/exclusion criteria, and BC ratio formula in the methodology section. You collected data in the agricultural year 2017-2018 and why this year or why not 2023-2024; need clarification? Limitations, scope for further research should be added in the conclusion section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think that this manuscript is partly scientifically robust and technically sound. Because probability sampling was used to collect the data. And also a statistical technique was used to analyze the data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent but not sufficient. So you have to add more references to introduction section or make discussion section for comparison your output to others.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	It was a pleasure to read your work. It is an interesting research work but requires some improvements to be published and to maximize the articles impact.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Abu Sayem
Department, University & Country	EXIM Bank Agricultural University, Bangladesh