

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_121357</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Systemic Biomarkers in Predicting Clinical Outcomes Among Patients with Non-ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Systematic Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>A Systematic Review</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	If the sensitivity and specificity of the bio markers is established beyond any doubt, they can be used as screening tools in high-risk patients also. Predicting plaque instability with bio markers if established will be a scientific progress. How precisely the authors have addressed the AIMS and Objectives at the time of research publication, can't be compared (when the science has achieved so much) with the approach decades later. If money, material and man power issues are addressed, these bio markers will be a boom even in peripheral health centres.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Scientifically addressed under various sections	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Besides so many other approaches, bio markers have also changed the management approach in many diseases, not only for symptomatology, but effected morbidity and mortality also. The scientific articles searched are in hundreds but only a few have been thoroughly studied, making it target oriented and topic Specific. If the results of such studies are scientifically studied in various trials the management approach may change significantly. Short of being a retrospective study the authors have tried to address the issues scientifically.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References up 2023 have ben included	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Better to have some comments on the outcome (why & how) of plaques in patients with deranged (and how much) bio markers. Better to have correlation of the bio markers with the clinical endpoint, and to record the effect of the results on the morbidity / mortality end points.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ishtyak Ahmed Mir</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>GMC Jammu, India</b>