

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_122310
Title of the Manuscript:	EPIDEMIOLOGY AND EMERGENCE OF GENOTYPE XIII.2.2 OF CLASS II NEWCASTLE DISEASE VIRUS FROM VACCINATED FLOCKS IN KERALA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Investigation of the epidemiology and phylogenetic characteristics of Newcastle disease virus (NDV) outbreaks in poultry flocks is very important. The emergence of new virulent genotypes and their implications for current vaccination strategies.	t
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable EPIDEMIOLOGY AND molecular characterization OF GENOTYPE XIII.2.2 OF CLASS II NEWCASTLE DISEASE VIRUS FROM VACCINATED FLOCKS IN KERALA	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add a nucleotide similarity table of samples	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	suitable	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think the paper is good but I need the primer reference used in the virus classification. I need row data for each of epidemiological data	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asmaa Ibrahim Abdelaziz Zin Eldin
Department, University & Country	University of Sadat City, Egypt