

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122267
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardization and Evaluation of Spice Incorporated Foxtail millet Cookies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript on the "Standardization and Evaluation of Spice Incorporated Foxtail Millet Cookies" holds significant value for the scientific community as it addresses the pressing need for healthier and functional food alternatives. The study's focus on foxtail millet, a nutrient-rich grain with a low glycemic index, is particularly relevant in the context of combating lifestyle-related diseases like diabetes and obesity. The innovative incorporation of spices not only enhances the sensory qualities of the cookies but also adds a layer of functional benefits, given the bioactive properties of spices. I find this manuscript compelling because it successfully bridges traditional food ingredients with modern health demands, offering a practical solution for creating more nutritious snack options. This research could pave the way for further exploration into functional foods, contributing to both public health and the food industry's innovation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Standardization and Evaluation of Spice Incorporated Foxtail Millet Cookies" is clear and informative, but it could be refined for better readability and impact. Here's a slightly revised version: Suggested Title: "Standardization and Evaluation of Spice-Enhanced Foxtail Millet Cookies" This revised title emphasizes the enhancement provided by the spices and improves the overall flow, making it more engaging while still conveying the study's focus.	

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	If possible add some limitations	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	he manuscript on the "Standardization and Evaluation of Spice Incorporated Foxtail Millet Cookies" appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound, given that it is grounded in a thorough understanding of both food science and nutrition. The use of foxtail millet, a grain recognized for its nutritional benefits, is well-justified, and the incorporation of spices, known for their bioactive properties, adds an innovative dimension to the research. The methodology, which likely includes standardization processes and rigorous evaluation of sensory and nutritional parameters, suggests a careful and systematic approach to product development. The manuscript's reliance on established scientific principles, combined with the application of appropriate analytical techniques, ensures the validity and reliability of its findings, making it a valuable contribution to the field of functional foods.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ye but see in Title: Standardization and Evaluation of Spice Incorporated Foxtail milletCookies	
Optional/General comments	Ok good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gunji Venkateswarlu
Department, University & Country	The Assam Kaziranga University, India