

### Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_EJNFS_121555  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PACKAGING AND STORABILITY ANALYSIS OF FORTIFIED CUPCAKES PREPARED FOR PRESCHOOL CHILDREN |
| Type of the Article      | Research Article   |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The article is very informative for society as well as researcher and suggested for acceptance.</li> <li>2. In the mention manuscript the storability and sensitivity of cupcakes were analysed practically.</li> <li>3. This research provide basis for the study of daily usage material on scientific basis. As we know the use of products available nowadays for are mostly harmful for kids which need a scientific study.</li> <li>4. The scientific investigations of cupcakes enhance our understanding of the chemistry of baking.</li> </ol> |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | Suitable title;<br><b>PREPARATION, PACKAGING AND STORABILITY ANALYSIS OF FORTIFIED CUPCAKES PREPARED FOR PRESCHOOL CHILDREN</b>   |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | The abstract portion need a scientific touch such description of ingredients of cupcake which are useful for kids and type of preservative used for storage of cupcake. Also need a short description of preservative side effects on kids' health which are used in various products.  |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Yes but in methodology portion some headings are required to be removed. (As highlighted and commented in the paper).   |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The authors methodology for analysing cupcake texture and structure is technically sound, providing a robust framework for future research.</li> <li>2. The researchers use statistical analysis to correlate cupcake ingredients with consumer preferences in its approach and technically correct sound.</li> <li>3. The paper assertion is well supported by empirical evidence and correct in its application.</li> </ol>   |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | The mention references are insufficient and need for authentic and update citation.   |   |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments<br><br>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | The vocabulary and sentences structure is very weak. It needs to be improved for publication.   |   |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments  |   |   |

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

#### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Jehan Zada</b>                               |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Govt. Degree College Lal Qilla, Pakistan</b> |