

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_120461
Title of the Manuscript:	Photogrammetric-Based Analysis of Facial and Nasal Indices: A Cross-sectional Study among the Igbo ethnic group of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study evaluates the facial and nasal index of the Igbo ethnic group of Nigeria, information that can be used by anatomists, plastic surgeons, maxillofacial surgeons, forensic medicine, and anthropologists.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well structured.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study uses anthropometric standards via photogrammetry and a digitalized photo analyzer to generate values of the facial and nasal, indices of males and females of the Igbo ethnic group of Nigeria. The data were analyzed using the International Business Machines Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM SPSS version 23). Independent t-test and chi-square were used to emphasize the statistical significance of the obtained data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. In the methods section of the abstract, the author makes the following statement:" Facial and nasal indices were calculated and used to obtain the facial, and nasal", which is incomplete, from my point of view.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cristina Stanescu
Department, University & Country	"Dunarea de Jos" University of Galati, Romania