

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122435
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES IN FINTECH ADOPTION AMONG MEMBERS OF FARMER PRODUCER ORGANISATIONS - AN ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1)The author has written paper based on original research collecting field data 2) Methodology seems to be appropriate 3) the outcome of the study may be useful to improvement of fintech adoption by farmers	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The study is specific to the state of the Tiruvallur district of Tamil Nadu and hence, this may be added in the title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1) The author written the abstract (with 262 words) within the word limit of 250-300 words prescribed by the journal 2) It is well written abstract including aim, study design, methodology, results, and conclusions. However, there is no requirements of mentioning sub-headings under abstract as per the journal policy. Hence, it is suggested to delete the sub-headings such as aim, study design, methodology, results, and conclusions under abstract and it may be made a single paragraph	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. The manuscript includes all subsections and structure is appropriate except review of literature. The article has total 2547 words as against the requirements of a length of 3000-4000 words for a short research article. A sub-heading may be added incorporating a brief review of literature on the research topic.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1) Under 3.2, it is suggested to discuss the results of the study with findings of any previous studies, if any to improve the quality of the paper 2) Please check the relevance of citation by Priya and Anusha which is not talking about adoption by farmers 3) Please refer Manikandan, R. and Vidhya, N. (2023) DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra13895 and Sahoo, A.K. et al., (2020) for the application of Garret scoring/ranking	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	1)The style of citations and reference are in line with the journal's requirements 2) It is suggested add full reference of Garrett and Woodworth (1969) under reference 3) Please check the correct citation & reference of [7]: it is not Mathur, H. P. (2022), please correct the same by referring original article 4) Please check the correctness of name of journal in reference [2] 5) It suggested to DOI in case of online articles in the reference. Please check all citations and references and ensure correctness	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	1)In conclusion sub heading, it suggested to add few lines on the limitations of the study and future scope for research 2) The article has total 2547 words as against the requirements of a length of 3000-4000 words for a short research article, if possible, the author may discuss the results with a greater number of citations and review of literature.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabhakara S.
Department, University & Country	School of Management Studies, IGNOU, India