

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_122341</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MECHANIZATION CONFRONTS IN SELECTED DISTINCT AGRICULTURAL SYSTEMS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Farm mechanization is one of the most important constituents of Green Revolution, its effect on agriculture should be depicted.</li> <li>2. Farm mechanization is very important to increase the productivity of the crops</li> <li>3. More research article should be published on farm mechanization.</li> </ol>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Absolutely. The title is depicting the subject of the article very efficiently.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract is not comprehensive.</li> <li>2. Each word written in abstract should have been mentioned in the main article.</li> </ol>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	1. Subsection of the review article should not be same as research article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Photos used in the article; source should be mentioned.</li> <li>2. In methodology section, author mentioned more than 100 abstracts have been studied, where are the references?</li> <li>3. Conclusion part should be more self-explanatory and related to result and discussion.</li> <li>4. Author has mentioned about "Ranking Method" but has not mentioned any detail about the analytical method.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Each statement should be backed by previous research articles or references.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. No modification is required.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Subhadip Pal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Agriculture and Allied Sciences, The Neotia University, India</b>