

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_122341</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mechanization Confronts In Selected Distinct Agricultural Systems</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is of great importance for the scientific community, showing the challenges of mechanization in agriculture practiced by some regions in India. However, the writing does not clearly state the confrontation because numbers and statistics are strongly needed to show the gaps and the demands. Therefore, refining the writing is required to really show the importance of the study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should be changed to make it more concise. A suggested title is "Potentials and Challenges of Mechanization in Agricultural Systems in [please mention the name of the study location]"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is too short and does not provide a good overview of the study. Therefore, it should be rewritten by including a problem statement, the objective, the method, main results, and summary.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	This manuscript is written to suit a review paper, and the writing is thematic because it mentions different types of farming practiced in India. To make it more meaningful, the writing should include numbers and statistics to let the reader know the percentage of mechanization used in the regions and the actual demands. The purpose to see the gaps, so that solutions can be proposed. More importantly, the results are still general; they should be more specific, focusing on not only challenges, but also potentials to show that at least mechanization can help a bit. Then, solutions should also be suggested in the discussion to show your contributions to the study.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In terms of correctness, the paper should be written more concisely to suit a review paper because it is usually robust and combines ideas from many studies to tackle the same issues. Therefore, rewriting is needed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More references should be added because most of the results are written without using references. Normally, results need references for a review paper because the study is based on literature reviews.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This manuscript is written to suit a review paper, so it should be written more rigorously, showing the statistics of mechanization respective to the regions of the study including trends and years. Otherwise, the explanation is too broad, and then the manuscript does not provide new insights for the reader. Therefore, the manuscript should be accepted for publication in case the author addresses the comments properly.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hin Lyhour</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Royal University of Agriculture, Cambodia</b>