

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_122140 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evolution of induce and physical mutagen on vegetative traits of papaya (Carica papaya L.) |
| Type of the Article | Research paper |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | I like this manuscript because induced mutation breeding is one of breeding method to improve qualitative and quantitative features of plant varieties, like yield and early germination. High yield varieties fullfill the nutritive need of increased population. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes suitable but , if ask me appropriate title Effect of induce mutagenesis on vegetative traits of papaya (<i>Carica papaya</i> L.) | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes, need more explanation regarding how many seeds used for each tretemants | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Appropriates | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | I think scientifically correct. To explain mutation breeding they imparted in this manuscript | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References are sufficient and recently published work | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | English quality of the article suitable | |
| Optional/General comments | Good research work and manuscript also. I think suitable content for publication | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Navnath G. Kashid |
| Department, University & Country | Baburaoji Adaskar Mahavidhyalaya, Dr Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, India |