

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121681</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study of Genetic variability and path analysis for yield in Greengram (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L. Wilczek)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research has a good addition to the field of scientific research, as it is necessary to know the genetic characteristics of cultivated plants, their suitability to environment, and their influence on those environmental factors in order to ultimately obtain a good crop in terms of quality and quantity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes No, I do not add any points	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe that this manuscript contains all the scientific conditions required to write any scientific research in a peaceful manner. It also sheds light on important points in the field of agriculture, especially for crops of economic importance. But the research needs new research ideas in order to avoid repeating the same points.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It needs some linguistic modifications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Some modifications have been made to the text of the research for the same submitted paper  Finally, I accept Good luck	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Salwa Abdel Aziz Ezzat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Plant Protection Institute, Agricultural Research Centre, Egypt</b>