

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121506
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF VARIABILITY AND CORRELATION FOR YIELD ATTRIBUTING AND GRAIN QUALITY CHARACTERS IN AROMATIC SHORT GRAIN RICE
Type of the Article	RESEARCH PAPER

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for advancing rice breeding by providing insights into genetic variability and trait associations in aromatic short grain rice. Its comprehensive analysis and clear presentation offer valuable guidance for improving both yield and quality traits, benefiting future research and breeding programs.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is mostly suitable but could be more specific to reflect the study's focus on both yield and quality traits. A more precise title could be: "Genetic Variability, Heritability, and Trait Associations for Yield and Quality Attributes in Aromatic Short Grain Rice"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract covers the main points but could be clearer about the study's goals and key results. Adding details on the practical impact of the findings for improving rice could enhance it.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's structure is appropriate and well-organized, making it easy to follow.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust, employing rigorous statistical methods and a well-designed experimental approach. Detailed analysis of genetic variability and correlations is well-supported by data and current literature. This thorough methodology ensures the technical soundness and reliability of the findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and cover key studies relevant to the research. However, including more recent studies from the past 2-3 years could provide additional insights and enhance the manuscript's relevance. Consider adding references from recent advancements in rice genetics and breeding.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication, but some sections could benefit from clearer expression and refinement.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript presents valuable insights into the genetic variability and quality traits of aromatic short grain rice. It would benefit from additional clarity in the results section and a more detailed discussion on the practical implications of the findings. Ensuring consistency in terminology and formatting throughout would also enhance readability.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jasmine
Department, University & Country	GKSM Government College, India