

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121079
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating Panicle Architecture and Yield Characteristics in Medium Duration Rice Genotypes Through Correlation and Principal Component Analysis
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript and the findings is interesting. This finding will helps the plant breeder for there breeding selection. But there are some correction needed, specifically in result and discussion section. Author need to read the manuscript carefully. The introduction section as well Result and discussion section need to improve.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its okay	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need improvement. Discussion need to separate from result section if possible.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically good. It would be interesting if more discussion will add. There are some difference among table and result information in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, In some case most recent reference is needed instead of old one. In this line, there are huge recent work. Reference style is not same. There are some citation in manuscript but not found in reference section and same found in reference section but not in text.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tapon Kumar Roy
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Bangladesh