

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122275
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of bovine milk production in the commune of Tioroniaradougou
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Importance for the Scientific Community: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript provides valuable insights into cattle breeding practices and milk production in the Tioroniaradougou Sub-prefecture (administrative divisions). The study highlights challenges faced by breeders, such as low literacy levels and limited adoption of modern techniques. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitability of the Title: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The title is descriptive but could be more concise. An alternative title could be "Cattle Breeding and Milk Production in Tioroniaradougou: Challenges and Opportunities." 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract Suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The abstract is comprehensive, covering key aspects of the study. Consider adding a sentence summarizing the implications of the findings for policy or practice. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriateness of Subsections and Structure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The subsections and overall structure are appropriate, following a logical flow from introduction to results and discussion. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific Correctness: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The study appears scientifically robust and technically sound. The methodology, data collection, and analysis align with standard practices in livestock research. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The references provided are relevant and cover essential aspects of the topic. Consider adding recent studies on cattle breeding practices or milk production in similar contexts. 	
Minor REVISION comments	English quality of the article: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The English quality is suitable for scholarly communication. Minor proofreading may be needed for clarity and consistency. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bekiyad Shasho Daro
Department, University & Country	Haramaya University, Ethiopia