

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122183
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the growth and yield metrics of pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan) as affected by foliar nutrient management
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author reported that the RDF (25:50:00 NPK kg/ha) in combination with 2% DAP and multimicronutrient @ 2 ml/litre spray has the potential to enhance overall growth, yield attributes and yield of pigeonpea under cultivation. This treatment may be recommended to enhance the yield attributes of pigeonpea	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author assessed the impact of foliar nutrition on the growth, yield, and economic performance of pigeonpea. He indicated that applying RDF combined with a 2% solution of DAP and Multimicronutrient at a rate of 2 ml/litre during 50% flowering resulted in the highest plant height, number of branches functional leaves, dry matter accumulation, pod count, pod weight per plant, seed yield per plant, 100-seed weight and overall seed yield. The outcome of the article may be recommended for cultivation of pigeonpea	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author is suggested to include few recent references if possible.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The few sentences of introduction part should be deleted. The reference section should be modified	
Optional/General comments	The article may be accepted after minor revision as suggested	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khanin Pathak
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India