

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122111
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of Japanese mint (<i>Mentha arvensis</i> L.) var. Kosi”.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe it has valuable and innovative information. It is a study that will favor the cultivation of this species. It is a study that will provide an improvement option for the crop	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES, , But I consider it to be rewritten after correcting the general text	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes, but it requires modifications to the results text. Authors should write a text that incorporates the information set out in the table and make reference to the statistical analysis. In discussion should make comparisons with other studies	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study has a scientific structure and experiment, but requires several scriptural modifications to be correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. More references should be incorporated into the discussion	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Duarte Evelyn Raquel
Department, University & Country	National University of Misiones, Argentina