

**ReviewForm3**

JournalName:	<b>AsianResearchJournalofAgriculture</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_ARJA_121373</b>
TitleoftheManuscript:	<b>Nursery EvaluationofMR2 mulberry (<i>Morussinensis</i>L.) raisedusingMini clonaltechnologyunderdifferent concentrationsofIBAandNAAon growth characteristics of apical cuttings</b>
Typeof theArticle	<b>OriginalResearchArticle</b>

[ReviewForm3](#)

**PART1:ReviewComments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISIONcomments	<b>Reviewer'scomment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance ofthismanuscriptforthescientificcommunity.Whydo youlike(or dislike)thismanuscript? Aminimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>Thismanuscript is significant for the scientific community as it investigates the potential of apical shoot cuttings from the mildew-resistant mulberry variety MR2, a variety widely favored by farmers, thereby contributing valuable insights into effective propagation techniques and disease resistance in mulberry cultivation. Although mini clonal technology was a common method in previous studies, it also provides valuable data to the field of plant propagation byassessing the effects of different concentrations of IBA and NAA on the growth androoting characteristics of apical cuttings.</p>	
<p><b>Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternative title)</b></p>	<p>Thecurrenttitleofthismanuscript,"NurseryEvaluation ofMR2 mulberry(<i>Morus sinensis</i> L.)raisedusingMini clonal technologyunder different concentrations of IBA andNAA on growth characteristics of apical cuttings," is quite descriptive but can be made more concise and focused. Asuggestedalternativetitlecouldbe: <b>"ImpactofIBAandNAAConcentrationsonGrowthCharacteristicsofMR2Mulberry(<i>Morussinensis</i> L.)usingMini-Clonal Technology"</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheadition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinthis section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract of this manuscript is quite comprehensive, providing a clear overview of the study's objectives, methods, and key findings. However, it could be slightly enhanced for clarity and completeness. Here are some suggestions for improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide specific details about the results [shoot length (cm), number of roots per plant (no's), root length (cm) and number of leaves per plant (no's)].</li> <li>• State the implications of the findings, leaving the reader to infer the importance and potential applications ofthe study.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are subsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The subsections and structure of this manuscript appears appropriate and covers the necessary components of a research article. However, to ensure clarity and avoid redundancy, please consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Introduction:</b>Ensure the introduction clearly differentiates the study fromprevious research to highlight its unique contributions. For example :<b>Please focus more on MR2 Mulberry (<i>M. sinensis</i> L) not <i>M. indica</i></b></li> <li>• <b>MaterialsandMethods:</b>Ensureallproceduralstepsareclearandreproducible.</li> <li>• <b>ResultsandDiscussion:</b>Clearlydistinguishbetweenpresentingresultsanddiscussingtheirimplications.</li> <li>• <b>Conclusion:</b> Pleasremove thestatement "Thereare currentlynosuch protocols standardizedandavailable for mulberrymini-cutting propagation" because mini clonal technologywas a common method in previous studies of <i>M. indica</i> in 2020.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinkthat thismanuscriptisscientificallyrobustandtechnical ly sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>		

### ReviewForm3

<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :-	Upon assessing the 33 references cited in the manuscript, it is evident that all of these sources are commonly cited in previous journals on similar topics. Please refer to: <a href="https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i4t.9903">https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i4t.9903</a> (Role of Auxins on growth of apical shoot cuttings of mulberry ( <i>Morus indica</i> L.) using Mini clonal Technology by C Kiruthika, Susikaran S, Parthiban KT and Krishnamoorthy SV) in 2020.  It is recommended to incorporate more recent studies and a wide variety of sources in order to enhance the manuscript's value and relevance, including up-to-date research from the last 3-5 years and exploring new perspectives in the field will provide a more comprehensive and contemporary literature review.	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communication. However, it is important to ensure that the entire article maintains this level of quality and addresses any potential issues of originality or novelty to fully meet scholarly standards.	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>		

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Farah Nazuha Binti Mansor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Selangor, Malaysia</b>