

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_121161
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of weed management options on weed dynamics and yield of chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.): A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is a significant contribution to the scientific community as it addresses the critical issue of weed management in chickpea cultivation, a vital legume crop for millions in India and other developing regions. It underscores the substantial yield losses caused by weeds, which account for 30-54% of total losses, and emphasizes the need for effective weed management strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title accurately reflects the content of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is detailed and provides comprehensive information about the experiment	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriately organized.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its comprehensive analysis of the factors contributing to chickpea yield losses, particularly due to weed competition. It accurately quantifies the extent of yield loss attributed to weeds, ranging from 30% to 54%, and provides a detailed examination of weed management strategies, including the use of both pre-emergence and post-emergence herbicides.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and current.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article's language and English proficiency appear appropriate for scholarly discourse.	
Optional/General comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohitashv Nagar
Department, University & Country	Career Point University, India