

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_122170
Title of the Manuscript:	MASSIVE TRANSFUSION PROTOCOL: A BOON FOR SALVAGING PATIENTS OF MASSIVE OBSTETRIC HEMORRHAGE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Obstetric haemorrhage is most common cause of maternal mortality and morbidity this study provide effective ways of managing these problems and guiding about transfusion protocol highlighting various study in this way major mortalities and transfusion related complications can be avoided .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive however aim of the study is multiple, The audit of timely supply of blood products and results in terms of outcome should be a separate study TRALI, TACO, and MODS should be cleared in the abstract like in keywords	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically it is relevant, well explained and all outcome measures are justified and their results in terms of frequencies match with other related literature	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes they are sufficient however it would be good if few recent references can be added overall ok	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Overall good study and a very reasonable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shazia Sultana
Department, University & Country	Ziauddin University, Pakistan