

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_122206
Title of the Manuscript:	Mechanization in Sweet Corn Production: A Review
Type of the Article	Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript on <i>Mechanization in Sweet Corn Production</i> is a critical contribution to the scientific community, as it addresses the challenges and advancements in a specialized area of agricultural production. By focusing on the mechanization aspects, it provides valuable insights into improving efficiency, reducing labor costs, and enhancing productivity in sweet corn farming. The review's comprehensive analysis of current technologies and their applications will be instrumental in guiding future research and development in this field. Overall, this manuscript is a timely and necessary addition to agricultural literature, with the potential to significantly impact both academia and industry.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract should clearly add objectives of study and numeric results.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>It can be improved with proper section and subsections</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>While the manuscript on <i>Mechanization in Sweet Corn Production</i> provides a comprehensive review of existing technologies, it could be improved by incorporating a dedicated section on future trends in mechanization. This would offer readers insights into upcoming innovations and how they might address current challenges in sweet corn production. Additionally, the manuscript would benefit from a more cohesive summary of the findings, distilling the key takeaways in a way that highlights their practical implications. Finally, a well-structured conclusion that ties together the review's main points and suggests potential areas for future research would enhance the manuscript's overall impact and provide clearer guidance for the scientific community.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>More recent references may be added</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language is suitable, some improvisation may be needed for technicality of paper. Abstract should contain no references.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mrutyunjay Padhiary
Department, University & Country	Assam University, India