

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_122135</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SIMULATION AND CONSTRUCTION OF WIRELESS HEARTBEAT AND BODY TEMPERATURE MONITORING DEVICE USING MICROCONTROLLER</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The approach for the project is done meticulously and so presented well. The authors can include the comparison of their work with the base paper which was totally missing. The result part can be enhanced on that part highlighting their work is better than the one that is already available in the market.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, Suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Completely fine.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the Paper the work was wireless and they can add a bit on interference from other systems as sensors are used. They can include documentation regarding that. The result analysis can a bit more elaborate. The results were good	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More reference can be added. And also their base paper as first reference.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Suitable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Can do rework on the Comparison with the base paper, result analysis and show their result better than that and more reference.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kiruthika. B</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Atharva College of Engineering, India</b>