

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Geological Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJGER_121845</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Time Series Study Of Vegetation Cover Of Bhitarkanika National Park Region, Odisha, India Using Geospatial Technology</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Scientific</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is important since it aimed towards evaluating the LULC changes in one of the internationally recognized Ramsar sites in India which is a wildlife sanctuary including sizeable mangrove ecosystem. In this regard, the results obtained would support management of such sites and as well the procedures of the study might be followed other researchers in similar research situations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Time Series Study Of Vegetation Cover Of <u>the Coastal</u> Bhitarkanika National Park Region, Odisha, India Using Geospatial Technology.  Defining the study area as coastal would suggest existence of wetland and mangrove ecosystems.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Unexpectedly <b>the abstract of this study is rather weak</b> despite the very good other parts of the study. The abstract is composed of 7 seven lines (4 lines describing the study area; 2 lines or less for the findings and 1 one line for the conclusions)! The findings should state all the percentages (%) of the historical and future changes in ecosystems especially wetlands and mangroves. The conclusions should confirm the changes and recommendations should be stated in a sense to support planners and managers.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All subsection are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The pronouns as We, Us should be avoided in scientific research; such pronouns should be deleted and related expressions corrected	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The list of references is rather recent but it could have been better to include more on geospatial systems and also more on relevant international similar research.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is definitely suitable for scholarly communications?	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The abstract need to be comprehensive showing very precisely all the results or the findings of the analyses. It should also include recommendations in shoert statements for the planners and managers.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>El Abbas Doka M. Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sudan University of Science and Technology, Sudan</b>