

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMAH_121975</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Acute Cholecystitis in the Elderly: Current Update on Management</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short research article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Review of Manuscript: "Acute Cholecystitis in the Elderly: Current Update on Management."</p> <p>This is an eloquent and absorbing article written in simple words, easy to grasp for surgical trainees as well as practicing surgeons.</p> <p>However, apart from a few grammatical and typing errors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- The article does not clearly differentiate the treatment of acute cholecystitis in the young versus the elderly.</li><li>2- According to the article, laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the elderly depends upon the experience of the surgeon with such cases but it does not describe the difference in the technique of the procedure in the young and the elderly.</li><li>3- The table mentioned at the end of the article is based on a single study which makes it irrelevant as no conclusion can be drawn on one study alone. The article is still as useful without this table.</li></ol> <p>Once the above are addressed, the article is a great source of learning for readers!</p>	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zafar Ullah Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bolan Medical College, Pakistan</b>