

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_121975
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute Cholecystitis in the Elderly: Current Update on Management
Type of the Article	Short research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript on "Acute Cholecystitis in the Elderly: Current Update on Management" is crucial for the scientific community as it addresses a common and challenging condition in a rapidly growing demographic. The review of contemporary management strategies is particularly valuable given the unique considerations and higher risks associated with treating older patients. I appreciate this manuscript for its comprehensive analysis and integration of recent advancements in both medical and surgical approaches, which can guide clinicians in optimizing patient care.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract contains the general background, specific background, and the knowledge gap Still lacks of result/findings, methods, and conclusion</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>It integrates current clinical guidelines and data from recent and old studies, reflecting an up-to-date understanding of the disease's management in an elderly population. The inclusion of detailed analyses of treatment modalities, including both surgical and conservative approaches, adds depth to its scientific accuracy. Moreover, the manuscript's adherence to rigorous methodological standards and its thorough consideration of patient-specific factors, such as comorbidities and risk assessments, underscore its technical validity and relevance</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-</p>	<p>The references provided offer a robust and comprehensive overview of the management of acute cholecystitis in elderly patients, covering both historical and recent perspectives. Notably, the inclusion of the 2018 Tokyo Guidelines and the latest World Society of Emergency Surgery (WSES) guidelines ensures that the manuscript is anchored in current best practices and recommendations.</p> <p>However, to enhance the manuscript's currency and relevance, I suggest incorporating more recent studies and systematic reviews published after 2020. For example, the systematic review by Cirocchi et al. (2023) and the meta-analysis by Markopoulos et al. (2021) provide updated insights into percutaneous cholecystostomy and its role in high-risk patients. Additionally, references such as those by Köstenbauer et al. (2023) and Loozen et al. (2018) could offer valuable data on early cholecystectomy and comparative outcomes, enriching the manuscript's discussion on evolving treatment paradigms.</p> <p>Including these more recent sources would ensure that the manuscript reflects the most up-to-date evidence and guidelines, enhancing its utility for clinicians dealing with acute cholecystitis in elderly patients.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consistency and Precision: Ensure consistent use of terminology and definitions throughout the article. For instance, "acute cholecystitis in the elderly" and "acute calculus cholecystitis in the elderly" are used interchangeably, which might confuse readers. 2. Grammar and Syntax: Some sentences are lengthy and complex, which could be streamlined for better readability. For example, the sentence starting with "The management of acute cholecystitis was based on its severity by the grading system of the Tokyo Guidelines (TG)" could be rephrased for clarity. 3. Reference Formatting: Ensure that citations and references are formatted consistently according to the journal's guidelines. For example, references in the text are indicated with numbers but should be cross-checked for correct placement and formatting. 4. Proofreading: There are minor grammatical errors and typographical inconsistencies that need attention, such as missing spaces before parentheses and inconsistent use of punctuation. <p>Overall, while the article provides a thorough review with valuable insights into the management of acute cholecystitis in the elderly, addressing these aspects could further improve its scholarly quality and readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Lajeen Alnowaisser</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Almaarefa University, Saudi Arabia</p>