

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Immunology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJI_122015</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Stereological Studies on Ameliorative Role of Ethanolic Extracts of Vernonia Amygdalina and Gongronema Latifolium Against Streptozocin- Induced Diabetic Splenic Tissue Damage in Wistar Rats.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it explores the potential therapeutic effects of Vernonia amygdalina and Gongronema latifolium, two medicinal plants, on diabetic complications. By using stereological methods to assess splenic tissue damage in diabetic Wistar rats, the study provides valuable insights into the ameliorative role of these extracts, contributing to the broader understanding of natural remedies for diabetes management. The meticulous approach to evaluating tissue damage and the focus on traditional medicinal plants make this research particularly relevant in the context of developing alternative treatments for diabetes. I appreciate this manuscript for its rigorous methodology and its potential to expand the therapeutic options available for diabetic patients.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generally comprehensive, but there are some areas where clarity and completeness could be improved. Here are my suggestions:  <b>Suggested Additions:</b>  1. <b>Objective Statement:</b> Clearly state the specific objective or hypothesis of the study upfront. 2. <b>Statistical Analysis:</b> Mention whether any statistical tests were used to determine the significance of the results. 3. <b>Clinical Relevance:</b> Briefly discuss the potential implications for human health or future research	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	NA	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abdul Kareem. M</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Karavali College of Pharmacy, India</b>