

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121856
Title of the Manuscript:	The influence of Candidates' Items Responses Analysis on assessment practices in secondary schools in Zanzibar
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript provides valuable insights into the practical impact of Candidates' Item Responses Analysis (CIRA) on assessment practices in secondary schools in Zanzibar. It explores how CIRA influences teachers' approaches to preparing students for national examinations, evaluating instruction effectiveness, and utilizing various assessment methods. The manuscript's emphasis on the practical application of theoretical concepts and its focus on enhancing educational outcomes makes it a meaningful contribution to the field of educational research.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title, "The influence of Candidates' Items Responses Analysis on assessment practices in secondary schools in Zanzibar," is suitable as it clearly reflects the focus of the study. However, a more concise title could be: "Impact of Candidates' Item Responses Analysis on Secondary School Assessment Practices in Zanzibar."</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive, covering the study's aim, research question, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. However, it could be improved by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Briefly mentioning the specific methods of data collection and analysis to provide a clearer picture of the research design. 2. Including a brief statement on the implications or significance of the findings for educational practice. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-structured with clear subsections that include an introduction, methodology, results, discussion, conclusion, and recommendations.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its clear application of Item Response Theory (IRT) to evaluate the impact of Candidates' Item Responses Analysis (CIRA) on assessment practices. The use of a quantitative research approach with descriptive statistics allows for a detailed and objective analysis of how CIRA influences various assessment methods and practices. The methodology, including the use of questionnaires and observations, is well-aligned with the research aims and provides a comprehensive understanding of CIRA's impact. Additionally, the manuscript's findings are supported by statistical analysis, making it a reliable contribution to the study of educational assessment practices.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>The references appear relevant, but it would be beneficial to ensure that they are up-to-date and include recent studies on CIRA or similar assessment tools. Adding references that discuss the implementation of item response theory in various educational contexts could strengthen the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is generally good, but there are minor issues with clarity and coherence in some sections. Revising for consistency and clarity would improve the readability of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Keerthana
Department, University & Country	Sri Sai Ram Engineering College, India