

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_121738</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Research on the Cognitive Situation of Pre-service Mathematics Teachers for teaching evaluation of high school mathematics under the new Curriculum Standards in China</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The theme is very important as it explores the new evaluation processes and teachers' practices regarding the latest intervention. As Mathematics is one of the leading subjects, demanding deeper conceptual understanding, the evaluation in its real essential is crucial, so this study is instrumental to address this gap. This study can provide improved insights for the teachers, teaching mathematics in higher grades too.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Suitable, the alternate title could be:  Studying the Cognitive Preparedness of Pre-Service Mathematics Teachers for Evaluating High School Mathematics under China's New Curriculum Standards	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	It highlights the key areas of evaluation, the key findings are mentioned and suggestions are proposed. The abstract can further be improved, as there is some room for improvement too.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, appropriate.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	It is scientifically correct as it describes an important aspect of teaching and learning as "evaluation". It has specified Mathematics subject which is purely a conceptual subject. The sample is preservice teachers, hence can provide sound knowledge for the novice teachers to improve their teaching skills. It explores the bigger gaps regarding teaching practices and evaluation methods, hence inviting for the new teachers to improve their professional skills.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are sufficient, need to add recent pertinent literature perspective to improve it further.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Need certain minor corrections in grammar and sentence structures.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, the study is appealing to teachers' community. The highlighted gaps needed to be addressed to improve the quality of this research study.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sultan Alam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Aga Khan University, Pakistan</b>