

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121738
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Cognitive Situation of Pre-service Mathematics Teachers for teaching evaluation of high school mathematics under the new Curriculum Standards in China
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Aware that the problem with which this paper deals is a problem that concerns the entire humanity to a greater extent, especially when it concerns mathematics. With the existence of advanced technology and smart devices, it is difficult to get students to learn mathematics. Many are dealing with this topic and all countries are working to improve their education system as much as possible. I have witnessed many of these works and the possibility of organizing seminars and training for teachers on the best way to approach the problem, get close to the teachers and try to find the best way to find a solution. Reading this paper, I concluded that the problem is the same and that an attempt was made in this way to point out those problems and with the answers of the teachers to try to mitigate those shortcomings. The author himself says at the end of the paper that it is perhaps even more confusing than in the previous research regarding teachers. You should take this work as one of the examples following the answers from the teachers, what could be done specifically in this field. The paper meets my requirements when teaching mathematics is in question. Of course, it can always be better, but we need to constantly work on improving the teaching staff and teaching aids so that the students get the best possible conditions and desire to work.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the title of the article is appropriate.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, the summary of the article is comprehensive. It states the aim and purpose of the work.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>That the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The work was written in accordance with the regulations and the way of working when it comes to research work. According to what is found in the tabular part of the work, as well as the questions that were surveyed and the answers are clear, concise and without a lot of ambiguity. After each table, a comment and answer evaluation is written. Which, of course, is an integral part of a scientific work. Perhaps the only suggestion I would have, since we know that China is the country with the largest number of nations in the world, is that the survey was a little larger in scope by area or something more, because the number of teachers who were surveyed is small if we know how many teachers China has. I suggest that the survey should be continued in other parts and that the results should be summarized and compared with the ones from this paper, and that it should be continued, and if necessary, compared with neighboring countries.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>They are enough, recently they are and they are not, and you can see it yourself at the end of the work.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I suggest that, as far as the English language is concerned, the quality of the work should be checked a little and some things should be improved and corrected as much as possible.	
Optional/General comments	In general, my opinion is that the paper should be accepted for publication. Up in the upper part, I wrote my recommendations to continue the work and to do another one from some other area of China and to compare it with neighboring countries in order to come up with better quality and solutions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amor Hasic
Department, University & Country	University of Novi Pazar, Serbia