

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120984
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Problems of Pre-service Mathematics Teachers in Conceptual Teaching Training
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper aimed to investigate the challenges pre-service mathematics teachers encounter in conceptual teaching during their training. This is very important topic since the findings would have significant implication for teacher education and mathematics education specifically.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest the title should be: Challenges of Pre-service Mathematics Teachers in Conceptual Teaching Training	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good, however, the authors should remove the first paragraph. There are grammatical issues.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Some Sub-headings not appropriate. Please see revised manuscript for details.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is not scientifically robust. The authors should follow the journal template. For example, the use of OVERVIEW instead of LITERATURE REVIEW is inappropriate. Others include data processing, investigative tools etc. The study lacks a theoretical framework. Results presentation not sound. Results should be presented based on the research questions. Provide sample excerpts from the interviews data in the results. Conclusion should be based on the research questions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References style not appropriate. I suggest APA referencing style.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are several grammatical issues.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Philip Dorsah
Department, University & Country	C. K. Tedam University of Technology and Applied Sciences, Ghana