

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120984
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Problems of Pre-service Mathematics Teachers in Conceptual Teaching Training
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I am a senior high school teacher and a Mathematics major , I can relate to this research paper. This paper used a qualitative approach of which descriptions or describing the problem are significant to other Mathematic teacher for them to validate their experience or reflect if what situations or issues are relevant to them.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The word 'study' in the title "A Study on the Problems of Pre-service Mathematics Teachers in Conceptual Teaching Training" is already a redundant because the paper itself is a study. My suggestion is "Articulating the Problems Encountered of Pre-service Mathematics Teachers in Conceptual Teaching Training"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author need to include in the abstract the "analysis of text" used . This is very crucial since this study is quantitative research and the author used open ended interview. The amplification of the data gathering method is essential ... such as the coding and clues and keyword/s used during the unit analysis of the text.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	For me for continuity of story line I suggest that after each theme discussion of the findings to the previous literature to validate, compare, and contrast so that at the end of each theme the author can give a synthesis . This synthesis can be pick-up and be used in the conclusion part and recommendation .	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	My point of discussion is on more on data gathering. Qualitative research is a more rigor and repetitive process to prove and validate that your findings is trustworthy and transferable. Specifically the author used open ended question , meaning in the analysis of data , it is more induction , there should be a clear protocols such how the significant statements or words were reduced, bracketed, and analysis; of which in this study I see lacking more on this aspect.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In terms of methodology, as for the status of the paper I suggest that the suggestion need to be included before it can be communicate to the reader.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Need revision.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> In the manuscript it is indicated that the participants give a consent for the interview.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ma Victoria C. Magayon
Department, University & Country	Taytay Senior High School, Philippines