

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Cardiology Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCR_122501</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Primary hyperaldosteronism revealed by torsade de pointes: CASE REPORT.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case reports</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Remembering secondary causes of hypertension in young population . Suspecting hyperaldosteronism in hypertension with hypokalemia. Torsedes and prolonged QTc. ECG changes in Hypokalemia.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract case details and manuscript case details donot corelate. Basic Age and gender differences pose authentication of case report. Can add more about hypokalemia and prolonged QTc.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It needs to be still more robust. Need to add pathophysiology of Torsedes in hypokalemia. Cardarone can also prolong QTc which is a counfounding factor here. How do you explain.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Still need more recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	How can there be discrepancies in case details f abstract and manuscript.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>I. Tammi Raju</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Asram Medical College and Hospitals, India</b>