

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_121952</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>An Evaluation of Anti-hyperlipidemic Activity of Ethanolic extract of Cissus quadrangularis on High Fat Induced Hyperlipidemic Rat Model</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript titled "An Evaluation of Anti-hyperlipidemic Activity of Ethanolic Extract of Cissus quadrangularis on High Fat Induced Hyperlipidemic Rat Model" is significant as it explores a natural remedy's potential to combat hyperlipidemia, a condition with substantial cardiovascular implications. The study's use of a high-fat rat model to evaluate the ethanolic extract's efficacy offers valuable insights into its therapeutic potential. I find this manuscript compelling due to its focus on herbal medicine and its potential impact on developing alternative treatments for hyperlipidemia, a common metabolic disorder.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>The title of the article is clear and informative but could be refined for clarity and impact.</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>Conclusion can be added in abstract</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its rigorous experimental design and use of a well-established high-fat diet-induced rat model to assess the anti-hyperlipidemic effects of Cissus quadrangularis. The choice of this model is appropriate for simulating human hyperlipidemia and allows for a relevant evaluation of the ethanolic extract's therapeutic potential. Additionally, the manuscript appears to employ standard methodologies for assessing lipid profiles, which supports the credibility of its findings. The detailed experimental procedures and statistical analyses further contribute to the manuscript's scientific validity and reliability.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p><b>yes</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>-----</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>-----</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Satish S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Father Muller College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India</b>