

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_121952
Title of the Manuscript:	An Evaluation of Anti-hyperlipidemic Activity of Ethanolic extract of Cissus quadrangularis on High Fat Induced Hyperlipidemic Rat Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This topic is beneficial and valuable for the scientific community, particularly for new researchers. While there are numerous uses of herbal drugs that have been picked for investigation, the hepatoprotective action has not been reported yet. Therefore, this issue holds great potential for further research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Determination of the Hepatoprotective Effects of Cissus quadrangularis Ethanolic Extract on High Fat Induced Hyperlipidemic Rat Model	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract looks comprehensive, but points related selection drug dose, extraction of drug, isolation method, extractive values etc. was not mention any were.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	subsections and structure of the manuscript good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Introduction was written very well. There are few questions are come in to mind after reading article 1. Why chose leaves only? 2. Why 70% ethanol only used for extraction? 3. Why maceration method was preferably selected for extraction? Why Soxhlet method not used? 4. Extractive value was not mention 5. Why male animals was preferred for study? 6. IACE number was not mention in that? 7. How animal dose was selected? 8. No specific procedure was mention to conduct this animal activity? 9. How many days animal was under experimental procedure was not mention? 10. No any graphical presentation was their which help to understand exact result significance 11. No any specific software was used for statistical calculation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References must be revise after resolving above questionnaires	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is good but just check grammar	
Optional/General comments	Must be revised article, add more details regarding to experiment	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul Ramakant Sable
Department, University & Country	Savitribai Phule Pune University, India