

## Original Research Article

### Farmers' Use of Social media and Constraints faced: A study in Uttarakhand

#### ABSTRACT

The emergence of social media has opened-up new avenues for information dissemination, social interactions and communication in various sectors, including agriculture. Farmers are also actively using social media for seeking and sharing information related to agriculture through various social media platforms. However, farmers often encounter several constraints in leveraging these technology platforms effectively. This research investigated the multifaceted challenges faced by farmers in utilizing social media for agricultural purposes. The present study was conducted in one district of Uttarakhand, known as food bowl of the state with the objective to identify constraints faced by the farmers in accessing and using the social media. The study followed an exploratory research and the study sample comprised of purposively selected 200 farmers (those who owned a smartphone) spread over eight villages. The data was collected using a pre-tested structured interview schedule. The study findings revealed that the primary constraints faced by respondents in using social media were lack of awareness about useful websites and mobile apps, poor digital literacy, and poor competency in its use. Further, it also revealed that while younger, more educated farmers tend to use social media more readily, older and rural farmers face substantial hurdles. The study underscores the need for targeted interventions, including digital literacy programs, infrastructure improvements, and the creation of relevant, localized content to enhance social media's utility in agriculture. These insights will inform the policymakers, scientists, agricultural extension services, and technology developers in crafting relevant and appropriate strategies to bridge the digital divide and empower farmers through effective use of social media.

**Keywords:** Social media, Mobile Apps, Social media in Agriculture, Farmers' use of social media, Uttarakhand, etc.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

**Comment [H1]:**

**Comment [H2]:** Include the district name

**Comment [H3]:** Be consistent in use of constraints

**Comment [H4]:**

**Comment [H5]:** Rephrase to make the aim and objective clearer

**Comment [H6]:** Consider including percentage of the sample from the result table

In the contemporary society, the power of social media needs no reiteration. Everyone cutting across the entire social spectrum, and yes, including the farmers, are grazing on the digital landscape and spending lots of time and efforts to seek and share information in multiple ways. Thanks to the gradual and regulated expansion of ICT infrastructure across the country in 2000s, the speed and scope for creating such a digitally inclusive society with multifarious services architecture in virtual forms, has increased manifold.

Of late, mobile has become the media of choice of the masses, be it in rural or urban areas. Mobile based internet has consequently become a favourite and powerful media of communication, social interaction or information dissemination [1]. We never before had such a powerful tool to connect with millions of people instantly and simultaneously from the comfort of our home as well as workplace. The statistics reveal that total number of Internet subscribers in India are 936.16 million at the end of January 2024, registering a quarterly growth rate of 1.96 per cent. Out of them 38.57 million wired internet subscribers while wireless internet subscribers are 897.59 million.

The integration of social media into various sectors has transformed communication and information dissemination, offering unprecedented opportunities for knowledge exchange and community engagement. In agriculture, social media holds significant potential to bridge the information gap, providing farmers with real-time updates on weather conditions, market prices, farming techniques, and pest control methods [2]. However, despite these advantages, farmers across the globe face substantial barriers in effectively utilizing these social media platforms for agricultural purposes.

In India, studies have highlighted that the adoption of social media among farmers is hindered by factors such as low digital literacy, inadequate internet connectivity, and a lack of content in local languages [3]. These challenges are compounded by socioeconomic factors, including education levels, age, and geographic isolation [4]. Similarly, research in Kenya has shown that while social media can significantly enhance agricultural productivity and market access, farmers often struggle with the technical complexities of these platforms and require training to use them effectively [5].

The situation is comparable in other developing regions. For instance, in Nigeria, the main constraints include poor internet infrastructure and high costs of mobile data, which limit

farmers' ability to access and utilize social media [6]. In contrast, developed countries face different sets of challenges. In the United States for example, although internet access is generally more widespread, older farmers exhibit reluctance towards adopting new technologies, preferring traditional methods of communication and information gathering [7]. Similarly, in Australia, farmers express concerns over the privacy and security of sharing agricultural data online, which affects their willingness to use social media platforms [8]. Moreover, cultural factors also play a significant role. For example, in China, social media usage among farmers is influenced by the collective approach to farming and the reliance on community leaders for information dissemination rather than individual use of digital platforms [9]. This cultural reliance on traditional information sources can slow the adoption of new technologies.

Social media has now become a mainstream form of communication around the world, and continues to grow in popularity with the increase in the number of smartphones, and the ease of use. Social media has given the power to the voice even of the average and common men. A high (99.00 per cent) number of agricultural extension professionals are also using social media platforms [10]. It can be utilised in the production, processing, promotion, and distribution of farm products to sustain the agriculture business. In agriculture there is a need to reach each and every farmer and provide them the updated information. Communities of social media are open networks where everyone has an opportunity to contribute their ideas. Despite such progress, farmers are also facing difficulties in social media utilisation. This study focuses on the difficulties faced by farmers in social media has been identified.

Against the backdrop of the foregoing discussion and importance of social media in contemporary society, the present study was undertaken with the objective of identifying and analysing the constraints faced by the farmers in using social media for agriculture purpose in a North Himalayan state of Uttarakhand in India

## 2. Materials and Methods

The study was carried out in the Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand State of India. The district was selected purposively as it is known as food bowl of the state having large presence of farmers and has high agriculture productivity. The study sample comprised of 200 farmers selected purposively (criteria being the ownership of a smart phone and using social

**Comment [H7]:** Rephrase and use "constraints faced" to be consistent

**Comment [H8]:** Make this paragraph part of the focus above

**Comment [H9]:** Consider deleting

**Comment [H10]:** Udham Singh Nagar district,

**Comment [H11]:** Use methodology

media) and spread over eight villages. Using Snowball sampling techniques, 25 farmers from each village were purposively selected based on the criteria that they owned a smart mobile phone. The data was collected using pre-tested structured interview schedule. The data, thus collected, was subjected to descriptive and inferential statistical analyses.

Comment [H12]: State the villages

### 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

**3.1 Profile characteristics of farmers:** Demographic characteristics play a significant role in the access and use of social media by the people. Hence, understanding these characteristics can help in designing relevant and appropriate social media interventions for catering to the specific needs and preferences of different farmers groups thereby enhancing their engagement and effectiveness of social media use. Table-1 presents the results obtained in respect of farmers' profile characteristics.

**Table1: Demographic profile of the farmers (n=200)**

S.No	Profile Attributes	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
1	Age	Young age (up to 35)	43	21.50
		Middle age (35 to 55)	105	52.50
		Old age (above 55)	52	26.00
2	Gender	Male	193	96.50
		Female	7	3.50
3	Education	Illiterate	0	0.00
		Primary	4	2.00
		Middle	18	9.00
		Matriculation	23	11.50
		Higher Secondary	64	32.00
		Graduate	73	36.50
		Post Graduate	18	9.00
4	Occupation	Farming	192	96.00
		Job + Farming	8	4.00
5	Family type	Nuclear	41	20.50
		Joint	159	79.50
		Marginal (<1 Ha)	116	58.00

6	Land holding	Small (1-2 Ha)	56	28.00
		Medium (3-10 Ha)	23	11.50
		Large (above 10 Ha)	5	2.50
7	Total family income (Rs/Yr).	Low (Up to 56,000)	135	67.50
		Medium (5,60,000 to 1,48,090)	60	30.00
		High (>1,48,090)	5	2.50
8	Scientific orientation	Low (up to 22)	29	14.50
		Medium (22 to 23)	106	53.00
		High (Above 23)	65	32.50
9	Achievement motivation	Low (up to 12)	3	1.50
		Medium (12 to 21)	185	92.50
		High (Above 21)	12	6.00
10	ICT orientation	Low (up to 21)	27	13.50
		Medium (21 to 26)	89	44.50
		High (Above 26)	84	42.00

The results presented in Table-1 reveals farmers' profile characteristics. The majority of farmers surveyed were middle-aged (52.50%), predominantly male (96.50%), and well-educated (36.50% holding graduate degrees and 32% being educated up to senior secondary level). Further, most farmers rely solely on agriculture for their livelihood (96.00%) and live in joint families (75.00%). A significant portion (58.00%) are marginal farmers with less than 1 ha of land, and 67.50% reported to have a low annual income. The majority of farmers have a medium level of scientific orientation (53.00%) and achievement motivation (90.00%). In terms of ICT orientation, 44.50% have a medium level, indicating moderate engagement with information and communication technologies.

**3.2 Farmers' Awareness of Social Media:** The awareness of social media significantly impacts their usage behaviour in several ways. Higher awareness levels lead to increased use of various social media platforms besides affecting their access to information, networking and community engagement. Farmers aware of social media can use it as a platform to voice their concerns, advocate for policies, and gain support from wider audiences. Overall, greater awareness of social media empowers farmers to utilize these platforms effectively, enhancing their farming

**Comment [H13]:** May be considered as element of Social Media usage Prospect

practices, market reach, and community engagement. The results obtained are presented in Table-2 below.

Comment [H14]: Consider deleting " below"

**Table-2: Distribution of respondents according to farmers' awareness of social media**

Sl. No.	Social Media	Fully aware		Partially aware		Not aware		WMS	Rank
		Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	%		
1	Face book	154	77.00	41	20.50	5	2.50	2.75	III
2	WhatsApp	196	98.00	4	2.00	0	0.00	2.98	I
3	You Tube	187	93.50	11	5.50	2	1.00	2.93	II
4	Agri portals	38	19.00	86	43.00	76	38.00	1.81	V
5	Twitter	97	48.50	75	37.50	28	14.00	2.35	IV

The results in Table-2 shows that almost all the respondent farmers were aware of WhatsApp (98.00%), which ranked 1<sup>st</sup> with the highest Weighted Mean Score (WMS) of 2.98 followed by YouTube, Facebook, and Twitter, which ranked 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> with WMS of 2.93, 2.75, and 2.35, respectively. On the other hand, respondents were less aware of specific agricultural portals which was ranked 5<sup>th</sup> with WMS 1.81. These findings show that the majority of respondents were extremely familiar with mainstream social media platforms such as WhatsApp, YouTube, and Facebook, with WhatsApp being the most well-known social media.

The study findings indicate a high level of awareness among respondents for mainstream social media platforms, with the following key implications: **WhatsApp**: Almost universal awareness (98.00%) and the highest Weighted Mean Score (WMS) of 2.98; **YouTube**: Second highest awareness with a WMS of 2.93; **Facebook**: Third in awareness, with a WMS of 2.75; **Twitter**: Fourth, with a WMS of 2.35; and **Specific Agricultural Portals**: Significantly lower awareness, ranking 5<sup>th</sup> with a WMS of 1.81.

Thus, by understanding the varying levels of awareness and leveraging the strengths of each social media platform, stakeholders can enhance the effectiveness of their extension & communication interventions and support appropriate strategies for farmers.

3:

The constraints faced by farmers in using social media are significant as they impact the overall usage pattern, effectiveness of different social media platforms, and the benefits of social media adoption in the agricultural sector. The results obtained are given in the following Table-3.

Comment [H15]: Consider deleting 'the following'

**Table-3: Distribution of respondents based on constraints faced in using social media**

Sl. No.	Constraints	WMS	Rank
1.	Lack of awareness about useful Mobile Apps	1.88	I
2.	Poor competency in use of Mobile Apps	1.64	II
3.	Lack of awareness about useful websites	1.51	III
4.	Poor network services	1.31	IV
5.	Poor competency in internet use	1.29	V
6.	Slow speed of internet	1.11	VI
7.	Poor competency in use of social media	1.02	VII
8.	Lack of confidence in using social media	1.01	VIII
9.	Cost of internet recharge	1.00	IX

(WMS=Weighted Mean Score)

The results in table-3 indicate that “lack of awareness about useful Mobile Apps” was highest ranked constraints (first) indicating that farmers are not aware of the agriculture related websites and Apps which limits their access to better and useful information. This suggests largescale mass awareness interventions are required among the farming community for making best use of online resources. Further, poor competency in the use of mobile apps was mentioned as the second most important constraint indicating users' inadequate skills in utilizing mobile apps effectively. This highlights a gap in digital literacy that could be addressed through training programs. Third constraint was “lack of awareness about useful websites”. Similar to the top-ranked constraint, there is also a significant lack of awareness among the regarding farmers about useful and helpful websites related to agriculture. This underlines the importance of promoting relevant and appropriate digital resources that can enhance users' online engagement and experiences. Fourth constraint was “poor network services” which indicate that users face network connectivity issues. This is critical for enhancing user networking and engagement for

online information exchange. This points to an urgent need for infrastructure improvements to provide more reliable network services.

Other constraints as reported by the respondents were: Poor Competency in Internet Use (WMS 1.29, Rank V), Slow Speed of Internet (WMS 1.11, Rank VI), Poor Competency in Use of Social Media (WMS 1.02, Rank VII), Lack of Confidence in Using Social Media (WMS 1.01, Rank VIII), Cost of Internet Recharge & Cost of Internet Recharge (WMS 1.00, Rank IX).

The implications that emerge from these results are: Undertake mass awareness programmes for popularizing useful websites and mobile apps, improves digital literacy through periodic training of farmers at grassroots level, improve network infrastructure for enhancing user engagement, overcome economic barriers through competitive pricing of the improved digital access across the entire social spectrum, undertake some confidence building measures for overcoming psycho-social barriers to the use of digital tools, creating targeted content development and dissemination, and finally formulate holistic digital strategic interventions and policies fostering greater digital inclusion.

Further, the farmers were also asked to rank each constraint faced by them in the use of social media on the 3-point severity continuum, i.e. Most severe, Severe and Least severe. The results obtained are given in Table-4 below.

Comment [H16]: Consider deleting " below"

**Table-4: Severity of Constraints reported by farmers in the use of social media**

Sl.No.	Constraints	Most Severe		Severe		Least Severe	
		F	%	F	%	F	%
10.	Poor network services	2	1.00	58	29.0	140	70.00
11.	Slow speed of internet	0	0.00	22	11.0	178	89.00
12.	Lack of awareness about useful websites	6	3.00	89	44.5	105	52.50
13.	Lack of awareness about useful Mobile Apps	42	21.0	92	46.0	66	33.00
14.	Poor competency in internet use	3	1.50	53	26.5	144	72.00



15.	Poor competency in use of Mobile Apps	10	5.00	7	53.5	0	83	41.50
16.	Poor competency in use of social media	2	1.00	0	0.00	0	198	99.00
17.	Cost of internet recharge	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	200	100.0
18.	Lack of confidence in using social media	1	0.50	1	0.50	0	198	99.00

The results given in table-4 indicate that farmers face various constraints in using social media, with differing levels of severity: (a) **Poor Network Services**: Considered severe by 29.00% and least severe by 70.00%; (b). **Slow Internet Speed**: Seen as severe by 11.00% and least severe by 89.00%; (c) **Lack of Awareness about Useful Websites**: Severe for 44.50% and least severe for 52.50%; (d). **Lack of Awareness about Useful Mobile Apps**: Severe for 46.00% and least severe for 33.00%; (e). **Poor Competency in Internet Use**: Severe for 26.50% and least severe for 72.00%, (f). **Competency in Using Mobile Apps**: Severe for 53.50% and least severe for 41.50%; (g). Poor Competency in Using Social Media: Least severe for 99.00%; (h). **Cost of Internet Recharge**: Unanimously considered least severe; and (i). **Lack of Confidence in Using Social Media**: Least severe for 99.00%.

These findings highlight the fact that major constraints reported by farmers while using social media are - lack of awareness about useful websites and mobile apps (for agriculture), and competency in using mobile apps. Besides, poor network services and slow internet speed are moderate issues, while the cost of internet and confidence in using social media are minimal concerns. Addressing these constraints can improve social media usage among farmers. Therefore, addressing educational, financial and competency issues could significantly enhance the effective use of ICT in agriculture. These findings are supported agreement with the findings of [11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

Comment [H17]: Replace with 'in'

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Researchers, extensionists as well as policy makers have always reiterated that technology adoption can be a significant driver of agriculture productivity, production efficiency and farm

profitability. Timely access to information and awareness about latest agriculture technology can transform farmers' adoption behaviour and decision making. The emergence of Mobile telephony as a powerful tool for transforming agriculture sector and its incremental use by farmers in seeking and sharing information through the use of various social media platforms has the potential of igniting another green revolution in the present context.

**Comment [H18]:** Rephrase to distinctly reflect your findings

Key benefits of social media use by farmers include better access to information, improved market access, informed decision making, and social networking with farmers & other stakeholders. Further, constraints encountered by farmers in using social media include lack of knowledge about agriculture related websites & mobile apps, digital literacy and competency, network speed and cost of internet recharge. Therefore, formulating appropriate policies promoting mobile phone use and overcoming the constraints can bring about a transformation in social media use by farmers.

**Comment [H19]:** Seems not to reflect your objective, research result and finding, consider deleting

## 5. IMPLICATIONS

**Comment [H20]:** Consider removing to align with the journal

The study provides a robust foundation supporting the need for targeted interventions in raising awareness, improving digital literacy, enhancing network infrastructure, reducing economic barriers, building user confidence, and implementing holistic digital inclusion strategies.

**Comment [H21]:** Build into discussion

The study's findings can be used by the public and private extension system that helps farmers to bridge the knowledge gap. Further, generating mass awareness about useful agriculture related websites and mobile apps, developing localized content covering major crops and agro-climatic regions, and engaging/ motivating Information Technology (IT) experts to create innovative and imaginative mobile applications supporting and enhancing social media use among the farmers will greatly enhance social media use by the farmers and other stakeholders. By overcoming the identified constraints coupled with strategic interventions on improving digital skills & competency through sustained education and training programmes can be a significant driver of increased use of social media use by farmers.

**Comment [H22]:** Rearrange and consider using under prospect as a subheading

## REFERENCES

1. Ansari MA, Pandey Neha. Assessing the potential and use of mobile phones in agriculture. Karnataka journal of agriculture science.2013; 26(3): 388-392.

2. Kumar S, Bhuvanewari S. Role of Social Media in Agricultural Marketing and its Scope. *Agricultural Reviews*.2018; 39(1), 56-60.
3. Rathod P, Dixit S, Swamy, B L. Social Media Usage Among Farmers: A Study in Karnataka, India. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*.2021; 13(2), 30-38.
4. Ghadge S. Digital Literacy and Its Impact on Farmer's Use of Social Media in Maharashtra. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*.2020; 38(4), 45-55.
5. Muriithi AG, Bett E K, Ogaleh SA. Information Technology for Agriculture and Rural Development in Africa: Experiences from Kenya. *The Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension*; 15(2), 199-212.
6. Ogunsola LA. Constraints in the Use of Social Media for Agricultural Information by Farmers in Nigeria. *Library Philosophy and Practice*. 2020; Article 4275.
7. Barnes AP, Jacobsen BH. Farmers' Adoption of Precision Agriculture in Developed Countries: The Case of the US and EU. *Precision Agriculture*.2015; 16(2), 111-124.
8. Duncan R, Oliver J. The Influence of Trust and Risk on Farmers' Use of Social Media for Agricultural Information. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*. 2018; 62(3), 442-460.
9. Li X, Zhang J, Peng H. Social Media Use and Farmers' Agricultural Decision-Making: Evidence from Rural China. *Journal of Rural Studies*.2019; 67, 168-176.
10. Suchiradipta B, Saravanan R. Social media: Shaping the future of agricultural extension and advisory services. GFRAS interest group on ICT4RAS discussion paper, 2016, GFRAS: Lindau, Switzerland, 9.
11. Saleem M, Anderson J. Digital Literacy and Awareness Programs in Rural Communities. *Journal of Digital Inclusion*. 2021; 12(3), 45-62.
12. Chaudhari Ravikumar, Patel DD, Khadayata, KG. Constraints faced by the farmers about use of smartphone applications in agriculture. *Guj. J. Ext. Edu*.2020; 31(2):68-70.
13. Thakur D, Chander M. Social media in agricultural extension: Benefits and challenges under Indian context. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*. 2018; 1-8.
14. Kumari, BV. Effectiveness of social media in dissemination of latest dairy farming practices among dairy farmers of Telangana State. 2020. Doctoral thesis, P. V. Narasimha Rao Telangana Veterinary University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana.

15. Tupsoundare, R. G. (2020). Knowledge and utilization behaviour of farmers regarding smart phone. (M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis, Vasantao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani).

UNDER PEER REVIEW