

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_121804
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' Use of Social media and Constraints faced: A study in Uttarakhand
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for the scientific community as social media covers wide areas of endeavour including agriculture. It stressed farmers level of awareness of use despite constraints and highlights potential prospect of future benefits by farmers to enhance farming activities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but base on the manuscript content, it could be modified to: Constraints and Prospects of Social Media Use for Farm Activities in Uttarakhand State	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is ok. However, include the district of the state where the research was conducted. Also include precise research result data from result tables	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	To a considerable extent. To align with the journal consider changing material and method to methodology and remove section on implication	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript was prepared using scientific approach. There is cohesion in the content of abstract, introduction, methodology, result and conclusion to appreciable degree	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Are the references ok and recent?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Make the aim and objective clearer 2. Expand the methodology to include population and names of the villages 3. Section 3.2 can be considered as social media usage for farm activity prospect 4. Conclusion should be rephrased, and precisely drawn from the findings 5. Areas of adjustment are shown in the body of the manuscript 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abraham Azubuike Ahiakwo
Department, University & Country	Federal College of Education, Nigeria