

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_122226
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF THE MICROBIAL AND SENSORY ATTRIBUTES OF YOGHURT PREPARED FROM TIGER NUT (<i>Cyperus esculentus</i> L.) AND DIFFERENT FORMS OF COW MILK
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript compare the physiochemical and microbial quality of different cow milk and tigernut milk in an effort to find a better choice drinks when producing yoghurt. It also provide more information on the safety both the drinks.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Physicochemical parameter was not captured in the title. I will suggest "COMPARISON OF THE PHYSICOCHEMICAL, MICROBIAL AND SENSORY QUALITY OF YOGHURT PREPARED FROM TIGER NUT (<i>Cyperus esculentus</i> L.) AND DIFFERENT FORMS OF COW MILK" as the title of the work	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract need to be recast and the major outcome of the study must be properly captured in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The section and sub-sections of the manuscript must be written in bold	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript was scientifically sound and all the scientific names are written properly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate but they were not correctly written. Some references lack page number while some have incomplete page number. Also, web was added to some of the references which are not necessary. Most of the references have no doi number which is unique to every article.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Bashir
Department, University & Country	Modibbo Adama University, Nigeria