

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_121369
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigated Study on Shaping the System of Personal Loans in India: With Special Reference to Informal Workers of Madras Province (Chennai)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript touches upon the importance of credit availability to informal sector. The topic is a contemporary one. The drawback of the manuscript is somewhere the continuity is lacking and spelling mistakes. Repetition of sentences can be avoided	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Instead of Madras Province the name Chennai would have been used	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive some roundabouts can be avoided	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections should be separately highlighted	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is full filing the minimum requirements for a manuscript The topic is dealing with contemporary issue	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes it is sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of English should be improved. Avoid repetition and minor mistakes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saravanan Laxmanan
Department, University & Country	Aldel Institute of Management, India