

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Microbiology Research Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_MRJI_121041</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Screening for Resistant Genes and Plasmid Curing Profile of Salmonella enterica and Listeria monocytogenes from Seafood Sold in Rivers State, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important in highlighting the need to understand the antibiotic resistance for medics and researchers in this field. This manuscript is very useful in understanding the diversity of the two bacterial strains. It shows the presence of genes for resistance in the bacterial strains.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is ok. The abstract should be focussed on the identification of the genes and not detection as indicated.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust in molecular research as is very informative.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references needs to be worked on as highlighted in the manuscript. Some references are not cited in the main document. More and current reference need to be added to the list and be cited.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is quality for the article for a scholarly communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The research is good and only proper proof reading to reduce the level of similarity and require minor corrections as indicated in the manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lawrence O. Alaro</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kenyatta University, Kenya</b>