

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_122597</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A HOLISTIC STUDY OF LIVESTOCK DYNAMICS AND DAIRY TRADE IN INDIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author took the current day requirement for the developing country like India. As India is a agriculture based country, the entire economy depends on the agriculture and its allied industries like cattle forms, etc. The author collected data from various sources and cumulatively came to a conclusion.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is complete	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors took efforts in collecting the data and tabulated it. The did some statistical study on those data to come to a conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not very recent papers were referred. The author referred papers till 2021. Most of the papers were 10 year old. This may affect the data collected as the authors mostly depends on the data given in the reference papers.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is fine	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall the flow of the paper is fine and the data collected are extensive.  Even there are many tables provided in the paper to support the analysis part, the authors never used any graphs or pictorial way of representing their work. The tables are filled with data computed by simple formulas and calculations. Based on the numbers, most of the decisions were taken. There is not even a simple flow diagram or a block diagram to support their work.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S Sathish Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>RNS Institute of Technology, India</b>