

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_121515
Title of the Manuscript:	Covid-19 Vaccine Uptake and Associated Factors Among Healthcare Workers In Lower Juba Region Of Jubaland State In Somalia.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is not providing any significant information for scientific community, no new concept, except how many health care providers received vaccination. Study limited to a small area, results can not be generalized and not putting any impact on society. No reasons or concepts discussed for hesitancy in getting vaccinated, very preliminary research. Especially now when mass vaccination done already and no ongoing debate on covid vaccine and Covid-19.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In Title omit "Associated factors" as study focusing on percentage of HCWs vaccinated and which type of vaccination they received, in small area of Jubaland state of Somalia.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract: follow the Journal guidelines to structure it. Please change the word "uptake of covid vaccine" You repeated results statements in conclusion, no implications or impression of your study mentioned.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure is fine, content needs improvement, concept of study needs to revise.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is a very crude type of research article whose results cannot be generalized HCWs were reluctant for getting vaccinated or there was availability of vaccine was an issue. What factors create hurdles in vaccine delivery or acceptance, not mention. Different categories of HCWs included details not mentioned. Definitely approach for vaccination will be different between medical, paramedical and lower staff. Discussions needs serious improvements. Write limitations and strengths of study. Conclusion just narrating results, repetition of same things again and again.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent, follow Journal Guidelines for this.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Many grammatical mistakes, please correct them.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zunaira Nawaz
Department, University & Country	Dow University of Health Sciences, Pakistan