

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJTDH_121515</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Covid-19 Vaccine Uptake and Associated Factors Among Healthcare Workers In Lower Juba Region Of Jubaland State In Somalia.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This provides substantial scientific value by offering critical insights into vaccine uptake in a context that has been relatively under researched. By focusing on healthcare workers in Somalia, the study addresses an essential group for pandemic response and public health. The use of a quantitative descriptive design and statistical analysis enhances the rigor of the findings, revealing factors such as age, gender, and education level that influence vaccine uptake. These insights are valuable for developing targeted public health interventions and contribute to a broader understanding of vaccine hesitancy and uptake in similar socio-economic and healthcare contexts.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Result section needs to be revised as suggested in manuscript</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The introduction effectively outlines the background of the COVID-19 pandemic and provides relevant global and regional statistics. However, the flow could be improved by ensuring a more coherent transition between global context, Somalia-specific data, and the specific focus of the study. Consider tightening the narrative to make the connection between global vaccine challenges and the specific situation in Somalia more explicit.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The article is somewhat correct however, the result section needs to be corrected as described in manuscript.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Suitable, The English language in the passage is generally correct but could benefit from some refinements for clarity and readability.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rojina Basnet</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Medicine, Nepal</b>