

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_121515
Title of the Manuscript:	Covid-19 Vaccine Uptake and Associated Factors Among Healthcare Workers In Lower Juba Region Of Jubaland State In Somalia.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important because Covid-19 has not been eliminated. Therefore, vaccination, especially for healthcare workers, is highly advisable.</p> <p>I like the manuscript because it highlights the importance of vaccination against Covid-19 among healthcare workers.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The method is not explicitly explained. E.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sample size determination formula. • The statistical software used. • The analysis done. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>In order to make the manuscript scientifically robust and technically sound, I recommend including the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The theoretical framework that informs this study. • What is already known about the topic? • What is new in the current study? • Recommendations. • Strengths and weaknesses of the study. • Implications of the study for practice, and for future research. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Though recent, the references are not sufficient for discussion and conclusion.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• There is mixed referencing in the text in the Introduction section. There should be consistency in the referencing.• Two sample sizes (189 and 191) were noted in the Methodology section.• In the Results section:<ul style="list-style-type: none">➢ 3.1: Rates of uptake by healthcare workers of the 1st and 2nd doses of COVID-19 vaccine. However, the results were reported on whether the respondents had been vaccinated or not.➢ Table 2: Regression analysis on Demographic characteristics and covid-19 vaccination status: What does "Ref" mean?• In the Discussion section:<ul style="list-style-type: none">➢ Study [12] and [17] are not relevant to the discussion.➢ There are very few studies referenced to support the discussion.➢ The discussion is not based on facts and figures from the references. Thus, the claims could be biased.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pauline Njoki Kariuki
Department, University & Country	Kenya