

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJTDH_121515</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Covid-19 Vaccine Uptake and Associated Factors Among Healthcare Workers In Lower Juba Region Of Jubaland State In Somalia.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important in scientific community for the reason of it tackles an important and timely topic/issue which is about vaccine uptake. Vaccine uptake is a major problem throughout the world which makes this study a avenue to view possible solution to solve this problem. The study will show a different angle on how to address the said concern which will greatly help a nation to improve the health status of their country since vaccine is proven to prevent viral diseases, and one of it is the COVID-19.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is scientifically correct since scientific approach was done int eh study. Appropriate sampling, analysis and interpretation were clearly stated in the methodology and result section. Also, the study was backed-up by numerous related literature which were all based in science.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the references are not enough. Add more recent references.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jomell M. Santiago</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, Philippines</b>