

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_121476
Title of the Manuscript:	Litchi waste: An important Source of Health Benefit/ Unlocking/unseal the health potential of litchi waste
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very useful for scientific community because it is short duration fruit but waste or other part related to it have medicinal properties. Peoples are unaware to these medicinal properties of this miracle fruits. Through this article litchi waste have potential health benefit have been highlighted. And making it an important area of study and innovation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It required some changes as Litchi waste : an important source of health benefit	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is optimum but need rewrite to arrange /make effective.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very useful for scientific community. This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because this manuscript unravels its medicinal properties. Peoples are unaware to these medicinal properties of this miracle fruits. Through this article litchi waste have potential health benefit have been highlighted. And making it an important area of study and innovation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient but need to add some more recent references	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Introduction portion need correction especially language. Rest portions is good.	
Optional/General comments	Rewrite abstract and introduction portion. Rest is good. This article is very useful for research point of view. Litchi waste particularly seeds, peels and leaves are consumed by times but recent research suggests it may hold significant health benefits. These by-products are rich in bioactive compounds,such as polyphenols, flavonoids and antioxidants which can contribute to various health benefits. So, litchi waste is not just a by-product but a valuable resource that can enhance health and well-being, while also supporting sustainability efforts.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ranju Kumari
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India