

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121591
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ETHYL METHANE SULPHONATE ON GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF MULBERRY (Morus sp.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study examined the effects of methyl methane sulfonate (EMS), a monofunctional ethyl ester mutagen that is frequently employed in genetic research test systems, encompassing viruses and mammals. Future research methods will allow for further development of this research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article's title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is thorough. Adding would make it more comprehensive. In the future, how can we conduct a continuous research method to further the research? Based on the research methodology and the outcomes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	A comparative table of research results may be included in the manuscript's subsections and structure as needed to improve clarity.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research methods and findings presented in this article will be applicable in the future, making it useful. In this work, the effects of methyl methane sulfonate (EMS), a monofunctional ethyl ester mutagen commonly used in virus and mammal test systems for genetic research, were investigated. This research can be developed further with the use of future research methods.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Good	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
Optional/General comments -	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Theerarat Suwanaruang
Department, University & Country	Kalasin University, Thailand