EFFECT OF ETHYL METHANE SULPHONATE ON GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF MULBERRY (*Morus* sp.)

ABSTRACT

Ethyl Methane Sulphonate (EMS) is a chemical mutagen which is a monofunctional-ethylating agent, extensively used in mutagenic genetic research test systems from viruses to mammals. In the present study, mulberry genotypes V1 and MR2 were treated with EMS concentrations of 0.1%, 0.3% with a duration of 3 hours and 6 hours and without EMS treatment. ~~mulberry genotypes of V1 and MR2 were used to induce EMS. The seeds of V1 and MR2 varieties were treated with 0.1 and 0.3 percent concentrations of EMS solution for 3h and 6h duration respectively~~. Growth parameters observed were longest root length, dry root weight, seedling height, and number of leaves per seedling. ~~Growth parameters viz., longest root length, dry root weight, seedling height, and leaves per seedling were observed in V1 and MR2 mulberry varieties without mutagen treatment (Control)~~. ~~Among the treatments, the V1 mulberry variety treated 0.3 percent of EMS for 6 h recorded maximum germination percent (89.58%), longest root length (14.17cm), dry root weight (0.78g), height of seedling (15.08cm) and number of leaves per seedling (6.00)~~. The results showed that the treatment of EMS concentration 0.3% for 6 hours can increase the percentage of germination of V1 varieties (89.58%), longest root length (14.17cm), dry root weight (0.78g), height of seedling (15.08cm) and number of leaves per seedling (6.00) compared to other treatments. In general, survival percent and growth parameters were increased with an increase in the EMS dose and duration of treatment in V1 and MR2 varieties. Thus, it infers that the genetic variability of survivability and growth traits among plants show strong positive or negative dose dependent co-relationship with EMS concentrations.

Key Words: Mutagen, Ethyl Methane Sulphonate, *Morus* sp., Growth traits.

Commented [M1]: please write clearly the purpose of this research

Commented [M2]: I replaced the sentence in this section. . Please clarify whether the author agrees or not!

Commented [M3]: I suggest authors to remove this part

Commented [M4]: Please provide implication of major finding found or what might be a future prospective to be elucidated with those found in present study

Commented [M5]: I replaced the sentence in this section with some additional sentence improvements. Please clarify whether the author agrees or not!

Commented [M6]: What do you mean by this? Please clarify and specify

INTRODUCTION

Mulberry (*Morus* sp.), a perennial tree or shrub, is an economically important plant, and its foliage is used to feed for the domesticated silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. Mulberry is cultivated in East, Central, and South Asia for silk production and is widely distributed in Asia, Europe, North America, South America, and Africa countries. Because it adapts easily to different ecological conditions and is easily hybridized, both naturally and artificially, abundant mulberry germplasm resources are available, making its genetic background rather complicated and highly heterozygous (Dandin 1998). China and India are the major silk producing countries, have developed several mulberry varieties suitable for different agro

climatic conditions (Datta 2000; Pan 2000 and Pan 2003). Most of the mulberry varieties were developed from a few species such as *Morus alba* L., *M. atropupurea* Roxb, *M. bombycis* Koidz, *M. indica* L., *M. latifolia* Poir and *M. multicaulis* Perr. (Datta 2000). The major reasons for this restricted utilization of species are lower leaf yield and the poor acceptability of silkworms as feed material. The reasons may be the coarseness of the leaf, lower moisture content, lower moisture retention capacity in the harvested leaves, and poor quality (Das 1984).

Reduction in cost of production of silk cocoon is closely associated with qualitative and quantitative improvement of mulberry. Hence production of quality mulberry leaves is a pre requisite for the healthy growth and development of silkworms and silk production. Mulberry is a highly heterozygous, perennial plant so there is a great scope to induce mutations artificially. It is also helpful to eliminate certain undesirable characteristics and to improve mulberry plant qualitatively and quantitatively. Varieties of mulberry respond differently to mutation rate and mutation spectrum after gamma ray treatment (Katagiri 1970).

Successful manipulation of various mutagenic agents for inducing aberration has become one of the most important lines of contemporary research. Mutation induction in mulberry started towards the end of 1950's in Japan (Sugiyama and Tojyo 1962; Tojyo 1966; Hazama 1967; Katagiri 1970). Mutation induction techniques such as radiation or chemical mutagens are good tools for increasing variability in crop species because spontaneous mutations occur with a very low frequency. Mutation techniques have significantly contributed to plant improvement worldwide and have impacted the productivity and economic value of some crops. Mutation breeding has been widely employed in recent times for improving vegetatively propagated crop plants and gamma rays have been proven to be highly potent in inducing variability in mulberry plant (Deshpande *et al.* 2010).

Ramesh *et al.* (2012) reported that gamma ray is a potent physical mutagen that could induce variability in the mulberry variety M5. The higher yields in the mutants derived from M5 were found to be increased by 11.09 percent. Comparable results showed shortened internodes coupled with increased leaf area were recorded in radiation induced mutants.

Anil Kumar *et al.* (2013) reported that the concentrations of 0.1 percent and 0.3 percent of EMS treatment significantly altered the morpho-metric characters, biomass yield, and phyto chemical constituents. The significant variation in the morpho-metric characters such as plant height, number of branches, stem girth, number of leaves per plant, and increased biomass was recorded in the M1V2 clones of 0.1% EMS treatment and 0.3% EMS treatment. Further significant improvement was recorded in nutritive parameters such as proteins, reducing

Commented [M7]: References should be cited here

Commented [M8]: I suggest you explain the importance of EMS, followed by the role it plays in plant growth and development.

sugars, minerals, and moisture content. Moisture retention capacity and Chlorophyll contents were also high in mutant clones recovered from 0.1% and 0.3% EMS treatments.

The present investigation is to study the effect of the chemical mutagen of Ethyl Methane Sulphonate in mulberry using the existing mulberry cultivars.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the Department of Sericulture, Forest College and Research Institute, Mettupalayam.

Seed treatment of Ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS)

Mulberry seeds of V1 and MR2 varieties were treated with 0.1 and 0.3 percent of EMS solution. Treated seeds were sown in seedling trays with 20 cavities each and dimensions of 35×35×25 cm for 3h and 6h. The seed trays were filled with fine soil, vermiculite, and Farm Yard Manure (1:1:1) mixture (Vijayan *et al*. 2009). At regular intervals, watering and all intercultural operations were followed. Three replications each with five plants were maintained. Observations about mulberry growth and survivability were recorded after the 11th day of germination.

Treatment details:

T1 –V1 seeds treated with 0.1 % EMS for 3h
T2 – V1 seeds treated with 0.3 % EMS for 3h
T3 – V1 seeds treated with 0.1 % EMS for 6h
T4– V1 seeds treated with 0.3 % EMS for 6h
T5 – MR2 seeds treated with 0.1 % EMS for 3h
T6 – MR2 seeds treated with 0.3 % EMS for 3h
T7 – MR2 seeds treated with 0.1 % EMS for 6h
T8 – MR2 seeds treated with 0.3 % EMS for 6h
T9 – Control – V1 seeds without treatment
T10 – Control – MR2 seeds without treatment

Germination per cent (%)

Germination started eleven days after sowing from the seeds. From the 11th day observations were taken regularly and germinated seeds were counted daily to calculate the germination percentage. It was calculated according to the formula given below:

$$\text{Germination percentage} = \frac{\text{No. of seeds germinated}}{\text{No. of seeds sown}} \times 100$$

Survival per cent (%)

The survival percent of seedlings was estimated by counting the available seedlings on the 60th day after sowing in each treatment.

$$\text{Survival per cent} = \frac{\text{Number of survived seedlings on 60th DAS}}{\text{Total number of sowed seeds}} \times 100$$

Longest Root length (cm)

The root length was measured using a normal scale in centimeter from the base to the tip of the seedling.

Dry Root weight (g)

To weigh the whole plant root, it was trimmed from the seedling in the origin and was dried between the folds of a blotting paper. The weight of the root portion of these seedlings was recorded in grams using a digital balance. After that, the average value of five seedlings was taken.

Height of Seedling (cm)

Seedling height was determined by measuring the branch length from the base of the plant to the tip of the largest glossy leaf. The measurements were expressed in centimetres.

Number of Leaves per seedling

The number of leaves per plant and the leaves on each seedling were counted manually.

Statistical Analysis

A completely randomized design was used to estimate the variance among the treatments (Kirk 1995).

Commented [M9]: I suggest the authors to add Analysis of variance and if possible also BNJ further test or relevant to the experimental design. Please clarify whether the author agrees or not!

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the studies on the effect of ethyl methane sulphonate on the growth and development traits of mulberry are presented in Table 1.

Germination per cent (%)

Germination percent was observed significantly high in T_9 (92.17 %), whereas, T1 (83.42 %) was on par with T_7 (82.50 %) and T_8 (84.58%). T_5 (79.17%) showed minimum germination percent.

Survival per cent (%)

Significantly maximum survival per cent was recorded in T10 (87.33 %) followed by T9(83.00 %). However, it was minimum in T1 (12.08%), whereas, T6 (34.33 %) and T7 (30.42%) were on par with T8 (32.42 %).

Longest Root length (cm)

Significantly longest root length was recorded in T10 (16.42cm) and T3 (14.08cm) was on par with T4(14.17cm) and T9 (14.42cm).

Dry Root weight (g)

Highest dry root weight was registered in T10 (0.86g) followed by T4 (0.78g) which was statistically on par with T9 (0.75g).

Height of Seedling (cm)

Significantly maximum height of seedling was recorded in T10 (16.42cm) and it was minimum in T8 (9.25cm). However, T1 (12.17cm) was on par with T3 (11.42cm) and T7 (12.08cm).

Commented [M10]: Why is there no discussion related to the findings of this study?

Number of Leaves per seedling

Maximum number of leaves per seedling was registered in T10 (7.33) which was on par with T9 (7.00) and T4 (6.00).

Studies revealed that lower concentration of EMS had a stimulatory effect for plant height and the higher concentrations showed an inhibitory effect as compared to control (Dhakshanamoorthy *et al*. 2010). Variability in plant height in chickpea through gamma irradiation has revealed that the radiation doses of 5 and10 Krad has slightly reduced plant height while other dose had no considerable effect on plant height (Athwal *et al.*, 1970). Similar kind of variability was also observed through EMS treatments in *Capsicum annum* (Jabeen and Mirza 2004).. Mutations affecting the plant height, indicating that the mutagen doses would cause both positive and negative genetic variability in plant height (Chen *et al*, 1970). These workers have concluded that low doses of irradiations could be used as safe and effective for mutation studies in mulberry. Sastry *et al.* (1974)], while studying the sprouting and survival ability in mulberry varieties S , S , and K showed that the injury was directly proportional to the concentration of mutagens.

Commented [M11]: I think this statement is less connected with previous ones. Please make a good sentence connection.

Conclusion

Observations in the present study show that V1 mulberry variety treated 0.3 per cent of EMS for 6 h recorded maximum germination per cent, longest root length, dry root weight, height of seedling and number of leaves per seedling. In general, survival per cent and growth parameters were increased with increase in the EMS dose in V1 and MR2 varieties. Thus it infers that the genetic variability's of survivability and growth traits among crop plants show strong positive or negative dose dependent co-relationship with EMS concentrations, which is in conformities with the present findings.

Commented [M12]: is there any correlation data that supports this statement?

References

Anil Kumar H V, Muralidhar T S, Sourav Acharyam, Manas Jyoti Das and Munirajappa. 2013. EMS Induced Morphometric Biomass and Phytochemical Variations in *Morus* Species (Genotype RFS135). *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, **3**(1):43-55.

Athwal D S, Bhalla S K, Sandhu S S, Brar H S. 1970. A fertile dwarf and three other mutants in Cicer. *Indian J. of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, **30**(1):261-266.

Chen R, Gottschalk W. 1970. Neutraneinin duzierte mutation Von Pisum-mutanten in the polyarylamid- *Gelz. Natur. For Sch.;***25**:1461-1464.

Dandin S B. 1998. Mulberry a versatile biosource in the service of mankind. *Acta Sericol. Sin* **24**:109-113.

Das B C. 1984 Mulberry varieties, exploitations and pathology. *Sericologia* **24**:369-372.

Datta R K. 2000. Mulberry Cultivation and Utilization in India. FAO Electronic conference on mulberry for animal production (*Morus* L). pp. 21-25.

Deshpande K N, Mehetre S S and Pingle S D. 2010. Effect of different mutagens for induction of mutations in mulberry. *Asian J. Exp. Biol. Sci. Spl.* 104 – 108.

Dhakshanamoorthy D, Selvaraj R, Chidambaram A. 2010. Physical and chemical mutagenesis in *Jatropha curcas* L. to induce variability in seed germination, growth and yield traits. Rom. *J. Biol.Plant Biol.;* **55**(2):261-266.

Hazama K.1967. Induced mutations and plant breedingmethods in vegetatively propagated species. *J. Seric. Sci.Jpn*. **36**(4):346 – 352.

Jabeen N and Mirza B. 2004. Ethyl Methane Sulfonate Induces Morphological Mutations in Capsicum annuum. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology* **6**(2):340–345

Katagiri K. 1970. Varietal differences of mutation rate and mutation spectrum after acute gamma ray irradiation in Mulberry J. *Sericult.Sci.Japan.,* **39**(3):194-200.

Katagiri K. 1970. Varietal differences of mutations rate andmutation spectrum after acute gamma ray irradiation in mulberry. *J. Seric. Sci. Jpn.,* **39**(3):194 – 200.

Kirk R E. 1995. Experimental design: procedures for the behavioral sciences Experimental design: *Procedures for the behavioral sciences*. 3rd ed. Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, CA.

Pan Y L. 2000. Progress and prospect of germplasm resources and breeding of mulberry, *Acta Sericologic Sinica* **26**:1-8.

Pan Y L. 2003. Popularization of good mulberry varieties and sericultural development. *Acta Sericologic Sinica*, **1**:1-6.

Ramesh H L, Yogananda Murthy V N and Munirajappa. 2012. Effect of gamma radiation on morphological and growth parameters of mulberry variety M5, *International Journal of Science and nature* **3**(2) 2012:447-452.

Sastry C R, Venkataramu C V, Azeez Khan and Krishna Rao J V. 1974. Chemical mutagenesis for productive breeding in mulberry, *Paper presented at the seminar organized in commemoration of silver jubilee of central Silk Board* , India.

Sugiyama T and Tojyo I. 1962. Studies on the effect ofirradiation on bud of mulberry cutting in the hybridization.Bull. *Seri. Exp. Sta*. Tokyo. **18**(2):115 – 132.

Tojyo I (1966) Studies on polyploidy mulberry tree I.Breeding of artificial autotetraploids. Bull. Seric. Expt. Stn.Jpn. 20(3), 187 – 207.

Vijayan K, Doss S G, Chakraborti S P, and Ghosh P D. 2009. Breeding for salinity resistance in mulberry (Morus spp.). *Euphytica*. **169**(3):403-411.

Table 1. Effect of Ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS) on survivability and growth parameters of mulberry seedlings.

Commented [M13]: Please Tables should be placed inside the text.

Treatments	Germination per cent (%)	Survival per cent (%)	Longest Root length (cm)	Dry Root weight (g)	Height of Seedling (cm)	No. of Leaves per seedling
T1 – Treatment of V1 seeds with 0.1 % EMS for 3h	83.42	12.08	13.25	0.73	12.17	4.67
T2 – Treatment of V1 seeds with 0.3 % EMS for 3h	85.33	20.50	13.42	0.66	10.08	4.00
T3 – Treatment of V1 seeds with 0.1 % EMS for 6h	87.42	24.25	14.08	0.57	11.42	4.33
T4– Treatment of V1 seeds with 0.3 % EMS for 6h	89.58	22.25	14.17	0.78	15.08	6.00
T5 – Treatment of MR2 seeds with 0.1 % EMS for 3h	79.17	28.17	12.25	0.43	11.00	4.00
T6 – Treatment of MR2 seeds with 0.3 % EMS for 3h	81.50	34.33	11.33	0.37	10.75	5.00
T7 – Treatment of MR2 seeds with 0.1 % EMS for 6h	82.50	30.42	10.83	0.31	12.08	3.00
T8 – Treatment of MR2 seeds with 0.3 % EMS for 6h	84.58	32.42	10.33	0.35	9.25	3.33
T9 – Control – V1 seeds without treatment	92.17	83.00	14.42	0.75	14.50	7.00
T10 – Control – MR2 seeds without treatment	90.17	87.33	16.42	0.86	16.42	7.33
S.Em ±	0.6791	1.0646	0.3005	0.0189	0.3873	0.8692
CD at 5%	1.4165	2.2207	0.6268	0.0393	0.8079	1.8132

Commented [M14]: please check the table format