

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_120903</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of weed management options in optimizing weed control and productivity of rapeseed-mustard: A review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Weeds are the major pests of the crops yielding losses of about 33%. So there is need to control weeds at proper time to get good yields and returns to farmers. This paper clearly mentioned the best weed management practice in rapeseed-mustard which will be helpful to farmers or timely control of weeds	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	May be modified as Effect of weed management practices on weed control efficiency and productivity of rapeseed-mustard	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No additions/deletions	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript clearly shows the type of weeds controlled by different herbicides which is a key point to be noted while selecting herbicide. It also provides information on best weed management practices with low cost It shows a clear picture of weeds and weed control methods for higher returns to farmers	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references are not relevant to manuscript title. Those references are highlighted in the paper which need to be deleted.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sahaja Deva
Department, University & Country	ANGRAU, India